IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.BASHEER

THURSDAY, THE 2ND AUGUST 2007 / 11TH SRAVANA 1929

WP(C).No. 23588 of 2007(G)

PETITIONER:

V.K.SHEENA,
H.S.S.T. IN CHEMISTRY,
GOVT. HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL, VETTILAPPARA,
THRISSUR DISTRICT.

BY ADV. DR.K.P.SATHEESAN
SRI.K.K.GOPINATHAN NAIR
SRI.M.R.JAYAPRASAD
SRI.K.K.RAJEEV

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE DIRECTOR,
DIRECTORATE OF HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. LEENA K.S.
H.S.S.T. IN CHEMISTRY,
M.A.R.M.H.S. SANTHIPURAM,
KODUNGALLUR, THRISSUR DISTRICT.

BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI. A.J. VARGHESE

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 02/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

A.K. BASHEER, J.

W.P.(C). NO. 23588 OF 2007

Dated this the 2nd day of August, 2007

J U D G M E N T

Petitioner is working as a Teacher in Government Higher Secndary School, Vettilappara in Thrisur District.

2. According to her, she had requested for a transfer to M.A.R.M.H.S.S., Santhipuram. However, her request was declined. Respondent No.2, who is junior to the petitioner, was given transfer to Santhipuram. It is contended by the petitioner that the action of respondent No.1 is totally illegal and invalid. Respondent No.1 has overlooked the norms and regulations of transfer particularly the seniority of the petitioner without any justifiable reasons.

3. Anyhow, I do not propose to deal with those contentions at this stage in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner at the Bar. He submits that petitioner has preferred Ext.P3 representation before respondent No.1 highlighting all the relevant aspects of the issue. The only prayer is to issue a direction to respondent No.1 to take a decision on Ext.P3 expeditiously.

In the above facts and circumstances, the writ petition is

disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to consider and pass orders on Ext.P3 strictly on its merit and in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate within six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. Respondent No.1 shall ensure that apart from the petitioner, respondent No.2 and all others who are likely to be affected by any order that may be passed, are afforded sufficient opportunity to be heard before any decision is taken in the matter. Petitioner shall produce a certified copy of the judgment along with a copy of the writ petition before respondent No.1 for compliance.

A.K. BASHEER, JUDGE

vps

A.K. BASHEER, JUDGE

OP NO.20954/00

JUDGMENT

1ST MARCH, 2007